		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumi	marv	09/525,894	JACKSON, WII	LLIAM PAUL
Lxammer-imdated interview dumin		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Fiona T. Powers	1626	·
All Participants:		Status of Application	n:	
(1) <u>Fiona T. Powers</u> .		(3)		
(2) Tom Keating.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 31 May 2007		Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applic	ant's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed: NONW				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The	ne examiner will provide record of the substance	a written summary of of the interview, since	the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (	Applicar	t/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if app	propriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Keating to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claim 12 were amended by inserting the definitions of the variables from claims 2 and 8. The amendment is necessary because claim 12 is an improper multiple dependent claim. it was agreed that claim 12 would be amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..